

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/867,906	TAKECHI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
LEYNNA T. HA	2135	

**All Participants:**

(1) LEYNNA T. HA.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Stephen Weed.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 June 2006

**Time:** 11:50AM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner made a courtesy call to inform applicant's attorney, Mr. Stephen Weed, that the office has not received a response to the Final office action mailed on January 11, 2006. Mr. Weed responded that there won't be any further response for this application. Mr. Weed asked what will happen after this. Examiner informed him that a notice of abandonment will be sent to him shortly.